

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/613,972	•	07/03/2003	Peter L. Bocko	SP03-064	6786	
22928	7590	03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
CORNING INCORPORATED SP-TI-3-1			•	AHMED, SHAMIM		
CORNING, NY 14831		331		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1765		
				DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/613,972	BOCKO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shamim Ahmed	1765	
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	nuary 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5,11-14 and 16-37		ion.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-10 and 38-41</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage
application from the International Bureau	* **		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/22/05</u> .	6)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 1765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The references listed in the information disclosure statement filed 12/22/05 are already listed in the PTO-892 of dated 10/17/05.

Accordingly, they are crossed out and it has been placed in the application file.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 1/10/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants mostly argue that Tatsuta et al do not teach the claimed glass plate with a thickness of less than or equal to 0.4 mm.
- 3. In response to the argument, examiner states that Tatsuta et al teach that conventionally a thin glass plate having a thickness of less than 1 mm is used in manufacturing of electronic device including liquid crystal device (paragraph 0004), wherein the thickness of less than 1 mm encompasses the claimed thickness range of less than or equal to 0.4 mm.

Tatsuta et al also teach fixing a thin plate glass plate of thickness 0.0015-0.25 onto a plastic substrate, which resemble the claimed support substrate removably attached (see paragraph 0006).

Therefore, the Tatsuta et al teach the claimed product.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

**Art Unit: 1765** 

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tatsuta et al (US 2002/0135728).

Tatsuta et al disclose a display device including a display substrate of thin glass plate, non-alkali glass plate each of which is less than 1 mm in thickness on a plastic support substrate (paragraphs 0004 and 0006).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/613,972

Art Unit: 1765

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 1-3,15 and 38-41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tatsuta et al (US 2002/0135728) in view of Waters et al (4,925,708).

Tatsuta et al disclose above in the paragraph 3 but fail to explicitly show liquid crystal material disposed between first and second display substrate.

However, it would have been obvious to have a liquid crystal display device with the claimed structure as evidenced by Waters et al.

Waters et al shows upper and lower boundary layers of 511 and 512 of transparent layer and liquid crystal material is disposed in between the two transparent layer (col.13, lines 27-39).

As to claim 39, at least one of the display substrate having color filter would have been obvious design choice to an ordinary skilled in the art, according to the type of the device to be made.

9. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tatsuta et al (US 2002/0135728) in view of WO 02/096577.

Tatsuta et al disclose above in the paragraph 5 but fail to teach the supporting substrate is recyclable glass.

However, in a method of making liquid crystal device, WO 02/096577 teaches the use of recyclable glass substrate for reducing processing cost as well as reducing environmental load (see the abstract).

**Art Unit: 1765** 

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to combine WO 02/096577's teaching into Tatsuta et al's product for reducing the environmental load as taught by WO 02/096577.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 1765** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA March 11, 2006